



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,970	02/18/2002	James D. Hansen	56512US002	6548
32692	7590	09/17/2004	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4W

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/078,970	Applicant(s) HANSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Melba Bumgarner	Art Unit 3732	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18, 21, 22 and 24-27 is/are rejected.

7) ☒ Claim(s) 19, 20 and 23 is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Highgate et al. (4,565,722). Highgate et al. disclose a dental separator, the separator dimensioned such that it *can be* inserted between adjacent teeth (figures 1,2), when inserted, the separator exhibits an increase in compressive force, wherein the separator is in the shape of a slab. As to claims 3 and 4, the separator is a hydrophilic polymer that expands (column 2 line 58). As to claim 25, the separator is also shown in the shape of a dog bone.

3. Claims 1, 13, 16, 17, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by von Weissenfluh (5,421,725). Von Weissenfluh discloses a dental separator, the separator dimensioned such that it can be inserted between adjacent teeth 2, 3, when inserted between adjacent teeth, the separator exhibits an increase in compressive force, wherein the separator is in the shape of a slab. As to claims 13 and 16, the separator comprises a shape memory polymer (column 2 line 20). Von Weissenfluh discloses a method for separating a pair of adjacent teeth comprising inserting a separator between the teeth, wherein upon insertion the separator exhibits an increase in compressive force and wherein the separator is in the shape of a slab.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 5-11, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Highgate et al. Highgate et al. disclose a separator that shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show the measure of increase in compressive force, increase in weight and increase in volume. Highgate et al. state that the changes in dimensions may depend upon the amount of liquid absorbed and a dimension may increase by a factor of up to 5. The examiner asserts that the claimed properties are present in the separator of Highgate et al. to the same extent even though they are not explicitly stated. It is held to be an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the use of a specific type of known hydrophilic polymer. The specific hydrophilic polymer is not critical to the claimed invention. It would have been an obvious matter of choice as to the specific shape of the separator as the specification does not disclose that the specific shape is critical to the claimed invention.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Highgate et al. in view of Rawls et al. (5,5,27,181). Highgate et al. disclose a separator that shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show a radio-opaque additive. Rawls et al. teach a separator comprising a radio-opaque additive (column 5 line 63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the separator of

Art Unit: 3732

Highgate et al. to have radio-opaque additive. One would have been motivated to make such a modification to

have a separator that can be viewed on a radiograph in the event of aspiration by a patient as taught by Rawls et al.

7. Claims 14, 15, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over von Weissenfluh in view of Langer et al. (6,388,043). Von Weissenfluh discloses a separator that shows the limitations as described above; however, Von Weissenfluh does not show the shape memory material comprising a metal alloy. Langer et al. teaches dental appliance of shape memory polymers and shape memory metal alloy including NiTi as an alternative in the art (column 1 line 20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use metal alloy or polymer since both materials have properties of shape memory. The specific material is not critical to the claimed invention. Von Weissenfluh discloses a method for separating a pair of adjacent teeth comprising inserting a separator comprising a shape memory material between the teeth, wherein upon insertion the separator exerts sufficient force on the adjacent teeth and wherein the separator is in the shape of a slab; however, the separator is light activated. Langer et al. teach shape memory material that is heat activated. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the shape memory material be heat activated so that the increase in temperature in the mouth would activate the material. It is held to be an obvious matter of choice as to the specific property of a known material of the separator. The specific force is not critical to the claimed invention.

8. Claims 18, 24, 25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over von Weissenfluh. Von Weissenfluh discloses a method that shows the limitations as described

Art Unit: 3732

above; however, von Weissenfluh does not show the measure of increase in compressive force.

The examiner asserts that the claimed force is present the separator of von Weissenfluh to the same extent even though they are not explicitly stated. The specific increase in force is not critical to the claimed invention. It would have been an obvious matter of choice as to the specific shape of the separator as the specification does not disclose that the specific shape is critical to the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 19, 20, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed August 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The prior art show the limitations of the claims. In von Weissenfluh, the insert itself appears to be in the shape of a slab. It is noted again that the specification does not disclose that the specific shape of the separator is critical to the claimed invention.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 703-305-0740.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Melba Bumgarner
Patent Examiner